

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

RAJA KANNAN,
Plaintiff,

v.

APPLE INC.,
Defendant.

Case No.17-cv-07305-EJD (VKD)

**INTERIM ORDER RE PLAINTIFF'S
ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION RE
SUBMITTING DISCOVERY DISPUTES**

Re: Dkt. No. 90

The Court has received defendant Apple Inc.'s July 1, 2019 response to plaintiff Raja Kannan's administrative motion re submitting discovery disputes. Dkt. No. 92. Apple asks that the Court order Mr. Kannan to file something it calls "Apple's June 26 Comparator Documents Joint Statement" or allow Apple to file its own statement. *Id.* at 5. Apple does not say which of the myriad documents (if any) attached to Mr. Boyer's declaration is the statement it wishes to file.

If the portion of Exhibit A to Mr. Kannan's administrative motion labeled "Defendant's Position" (Dkt. No. 90, Ex. A at 6–10) does not, in fact, reflect Apple's position as to the discovery dispute described in Mr. Kannan's portion of Exhibit A, Apple may submit a statement of its position of not more than 1,500 words by **July 5, 2019**.


No party shall file any further submissions regarding the discovery dispute or Mr. Kannan's administrative motion without prior leave of the Court. The parties must be prepared to discuss the merits of the discovery dispute at the hearing on **July 9, 2019**.

///

///

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 2, 2019


VIRGINIA K. DEMARCHI
United States Magistrate Judge

United States District Court
Northern District of California